



**CALFED BAY DELTA PROGRAM  
DRINKING WATER CONSTITUENTS WORK GROUP  
MEETING NOTICE**

**July 7, 2000  
9:00 a.m. to 12:00 noon  
Resources Building  
1416 9<sup>th</sup> Street, Room 335  
Sacramento, CA 95814**

**Welcome and Introductions**

**Implementation Plan**

Dennis Majors has been asked to give an update on the CALFED Implementation Plan.

**Delta Cross Channel/Through Delta-Facility**

CALFED will give a report on the DCC/Through Delta-Facility meeting held on June 29. Discussion of integration with the fisheries Work Group will be continued.

**Science Program**

The discussion will be on questions presented by Mary Selkirk, CALFED, regarding the role/integration of science into the Drinking Water Quality Program (questions were forwarded to the DWCWG on June 29, 2000).

**Status Reports:**

1. Delta Drinking Water Council Meeting held on July 5
2. FY 2000 funded Drinking Water Quality Actions
3. Baseline Sources and Loads Investigation
4. Reconstitution of the Operations Work Group
5. CVRWQCB drinking water constituents monitoring

**Wrap Up**

---

**CALFED Agencies**

---

**California**

The Resources Agency  
Department of Fish and Game  
Department of Water Resources  
California Environmental Protection Agency  
State Water Resources Control Board  
Department of Food and Agriculture

**Federal**

Environmental Protection Agency  
Department of the Interior  
Fish and Wildlife Service  
Bureau of Reclamation  
U.S. Geological Survey  
Bureau of Land Management  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Department of Agriculture  
Natural Resources Conservation Service  
U.S. Forest Service  
Department of Commerce  
National Marine Fisheries Service  
Western Area Power Administration



June 28, 2000

**TO:** Tom Gohring, Rob Cooke, Judy Heath, Mark Cowin, Dick Daniel

**FROM:** Mary Selkirk

**SUBJECT:** Science Involvement in CALFED Program elements

As you recall, about two months ago Wendy Halverson-Martin began a process of querying Program Managers regarding the role/integration of science into their respective program elements. She received responses from most but not all Program Managers. Kate Hansel and Wendy have asked me to continue in this effort and assist Program Managers in defining the science components for their respective program areas. I would like to schedule time with each of you over the next week to ten days to discuss your plans for the science and monitoring components of your programs.

The three questions Wendy asked you all to address in April were the following:

1. How do you envision scientific information or scientific processes will be incorporated into your program element?
2. How will the success of your program element will be measured?
3. What type of monitoring will be needed and how will it be integrated into the Program element?
4. How will the public be involved or informed regarding the scientific aspects of your program element?

In the final Phase II Report and the final CMARP appendix to the EIS/R, the overall CALFED Science Program is described as having the following eight functions:

1. Planning and Priorities
2. Monitoring
3. Data Management
4. Status and Trends Assessment
5. Trial Implementation actions
6. Reporting
7. Research Independent Science Review
8. Coordination

Keeping those functions in mind, I'd like to address with you all the following additional questions:

1. What infrastructure do you have in place within your program element for science advice and for independent science review?
2. What infrastructure do you have or do you have to develop/coordinate with CALFED and/or other agencies?
3. Keeping in mind the annual reporting requirement proposed in the Framework for Action, what is your plan for meeting the requirement for performance measures and indicators over the first two years of implementation?

As you also know, Kate, Tim Ramirez and members of the Science Oversight Team have convened a group of agency scientists and stakeholder activists to attempt to reach agreement on the broad parameters for the overall Science Program. They will be completing this phase of their efforts in the next few weeks, and they could use your input. Having your direct input as Program Managers will make their recommendations clearer and more meaningful. Hopefully our discussions will contribute to their efforts.

I'll be calling each of you tomorrow to see what we can set up. Thanks!

Cc: Steve Ritchie  
Kate Hansel  
Wendy Halverson-Martin  
Tim Ramirez  
Bruce DiGennaro